

Ethical Guidelines for Journal Publication

Journal of Mountain Agriculture on the Balkans publishes original scientific articles, reviews and short communications that contain original experimental data or new interpretations of existing results.

After the reception of the article, the manuscript is given to referees for anonymous peer review. The corresponding author is notified about the acceptance or rejection of the manuscript. The corrected manuscript should be sent to the the journal's e-mail (jma@mail.bg).

The Editorial Staff is not responsible for inaccurate citations, including the content of websites and copyright infringement.

In its editorial policy the journal upholds international standards on Publication Ethics. It is important for us, as editorial board, to follow the generally accepted principles, standards and best practices for ethical behaviour in publishing activity set out in the guidelines of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (<http://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines>).

The Code of Ethics is applied to all participants in the publishing process: authors, editors and reviewers.

Authors' responsibilities:

- The manuscript should not have been published elsewhere or submitted to any other journal(s) at the same time;
- The author should confirm that his/her submitted work is original. If the author has used work by others, appropriate citations are required. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical and is unacceptable;
- Authors should ensure that any studies involving human or animal subjects conform to national, local and institutional laws and requirements;

Editors' responsibilities:

- The editors should ensure that submitted manuscripts are processed in a confidential manner, as well as no content of the manuscripts will be disclosed to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, and the publisher;
- The editors should act in a balanced, objective and fair way while carrying out their expected duties, without discrimination on grounds of gender, sexual orientation, religious or political beliefs, ethnic or geographical origin of the authors;
- The editor has the right to make the final decision on whether to accept or reject a manuscript with regard to the significance, originality, and clarity of the manuscript and its relevance to the journal.

Reviewers' responsibilities:

- The reviewer should contribute to the decision-making process, and to assist in improving the quality of the published article by reviewing the manuscript objectively, providing an unbiased, constructive, and detailed evaluation on the scientific content of the work in a timely manner;
- The reviewer should treat the manuscript in a confidential manner. The manuscripts should not be disclosed to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor;
- The reviewer should be aware of any potential conflicts of interest (financial, institutional, collaborative or other relationships between the reviewer and author) and to alert the editor to these, if necessary withdrawing their services for that manuscript;
- The reviewer should approach the peer-review job objectively. Personal criticism of the author is unacceptable.