

Peer-review process

All manuscripts that are submitted for publication in JMAB are double-blind peer reviewed.

Journal has an obligation to provide transparent policies for peer review, and reviewers have an obligation to conduct reviews in an ethical and accountable manner. Clear communication between the journal and the reviewers is essential to facilitate consistent, fair and timely review.

Journal allows reviewers to provide confidential comments to the editor as well as comments to be read by the authors. The journal may also ask for a recommendation to accept/revise/reject; any recommendation should be congruent with the comments provided in the review.

Reviewers' responsibilities

- The reviewer should contribute to the decision-making process, and to assist in improving the quality of the published article by reviewing the manuscript objectively, providing an unbiased, constructive, and detailed evaluation on the scientific content of the work in a timely manner;
- The reviewer should treat the manuscript in a confidential manner. The manuscripts should not be disclosed to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor;
- The reviewer should be aware of any potential conflicts of interest (financial, institutional, collaborative or other relationships between the reviewer and author) and to alert the editor to these, if necessary withdrawing their services for that manuscript;
- The reviewer should approach the peer-review job objectively. Personal criticism of the author is unacceptable.